

Central Intelligence Agency



Washington, D. C. 20505

#### DIRECTORATE OF INTELLIGENCE

6 January 1984

MEMORANDUM :	OR: Charles V. Boykin Deputy Assistant Secretary for Intelligence Department of Energy	
FROM:	Chief, Strategic Resources Division Office of Global Issues	25 <b>X</b> 1
SUBJECT:	West European Gas Market: Frustrations for the Soviets	25 <b>X</b> 1
Attach	d is our assessment of the West European gas market	
and recent s	oviet marketing efforts. If you have any questions,	
please call	me	25X1 25X1
Attachment: West Europ GI M 83-10	ean Gas Market: Frustrations for the Soviets 286C, January 1984	25 <b>X</b> 1
		25X1
	Copy <u>17</u> of <u>25</u>	. 25X1
		25X1
		25X1

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/08/17: CIA-RDP85T00287R000700030001-3

```
SUBJECT: West European Gas Market: Frustrations for the Soviets
Distribution:
    Copy 1 - Charles Boykin, DOE
         2 - Dave Pumphrey, DOE
         3 - George Bradley, DOE
         4 - E. Allan Wendt, State
         5 - Greg Miller, State
         6 - Bill Martin, NSC
         7 - Benney Bonk, NSC
         8 - SA/DDCI
         9 - ExDir
        10 - DDI
        11 - DDI/PES
        12 - NIO/Econ
        13 - CPAS/ILS
   14 - 21 - OGI/PS
        22 - DD/OGI, D/OGI
        23 - Ch/SRD
                                                                        25X1
        24 -
        25 - EIB
                                                                       25X1
OGI/SRD/EIB/
                                (6 Jan 84)
```

Central Intelligence Agency



### DIRECTORATE OF INTELLIGENCE

#### 6 January 1984

West European Gas Market: Frustrations for the Soviets

#### Summary

Reduced natural gas demand and a surplus of available supplies have sharply reduced West European willingness to buy to sell only about half of the amount of gas envisioned when 1970s. As a result, Moscow has undertaken a concerted gas equipment to gas purchases, and attempting to penetrate new other suppliers to the European market. With the exception of soviet gas in the next few years are poor. Nonetheless, Moscow the 1990s.

25X1

This memorandum was prepared by  Energy Issues	25X1
Branch, Office of Global Issues. The information contained herein is updated to 6 January 1984. Comments may be directed to Chief, Strategic Resources Division,	25X1 25X1
GI M 83-10286C Copy 14 of 25	25 <b>X</b> 1
	25X1
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	25 <b>X</b> 1

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/08/17: CIA-RDP85T00287R000700030001-3

West European Gas Market: Frustrations for the Soviets

## Gas Demand: Diminished Expectations

Lowered prospects for economic growth, together with rapid escalation of European gas prices since the late 1970s, have reduced sharply prospective West European gas demand. Government and industry demand projections for 1990 have been trimmed by nearly 20 percent since 1980. In addition, projections of indigenous European gas production are more optimistic. According to the US Embassy, Rome plans to maintain existing production levels -- boosting 1990 Italian production estimates by over 60 percent compared with earlier projections--and the Netherlands, Western Europe's largest gas supplier, recently authorized additional gas exports of 10-15 bcm per year. Lowered demand estimates, combined with rising supply availability, have reduced West European net gas import demand in 1990 by about 20 percent compared with projections made only last year. of current supply contracts with the USSR, Algeria, and Libya, we believe the West Europeans now face a potential supply surplus of 5-10 bcm in 1990, forcing purchasers to take only the allowable minimum level from some contracts or shut in domestic production.

Pressures on the Soviets

Lowered projections of West European gas imports have diminished the potential volume of gas the Soviets can sell. As originally planned, the Siberia-to-Western Europe gas pipeline was to have carried 40 billion cubic meters (bcm) of natural gas annually--worth then about \$7 billion. The pipeline, however, was scaled back to 29 bcm of deliverable capacity in early 1981, in part reflecting weaker gas demand and Soviet efforts to speed completion of the project. At present, the Soviets have lined-up firm contracts with the West Germans, French, Swiss, and Austrians for only around 20 bcm. The Dutch and Belgians have dropped out as potential purchasers and an Italian agreement, which still has to be formally approved, will probably be for far less gas than the Soviets originally expected (Table 1).

On the price front, Moscow faces lower gas prices and hence lower potential hard currency earnings. The recent decline in oil prices to which gas prices are tied has prompted purchasers

25X1 25X1 25X1 25X1 2 Conv 14 of 3 Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/08/17 : CIA-RDP85T00287R000700030001-3

25X1

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/08/17 : CIA-RDP85T00287R000700030001-3	25X1
to request a review of the pricing provisions in their contracts. Unless oil prices rebound, Moscow could be forced to lower prices still further. French and West German purchasers are presently seeking cuts in the base contract price of new Soviet deliveries, with Paris reportedly demanding a 10 percent reduction.	25X1
Soviet Overtures	
Faced with reduced sales prospects in most major West European gas markets, the Soviets have mounted an extensive marketing campaign to promote gas sales to Italy and Finland and to penetrate markets in Sweden, Greece, and Turkey.	
	25X1
o The Soviets have also offered to sell Finland and Sweden 3 bcm of natural gas annually by extending the current pipeline network in Eastern Finland to Helsinki and then across the Baltic to Galve near Stockholm. To entice the Finns and Swedes, the Soviets have marked gas prices down to near parity with coal. Moscow is also pressing the Finns to buy additional gas as a means of closing the bilateral trade gap.  O In the south, Moscow has been pressing Greece to import Soviet gas via an extension of the Soyuz pipeline from Bulgaria.	25X1 25X1 25X1

	2
,	
	2
aly Partial Success For Moscow	
We believe lowered projections of gas demand, surplus applies, and high costs for gas infrastructure development will argely thwart Soviet gas marketing efforts during the next few ears. Still, with the world's largest gas reserves and low coduction costs, Moscow's hard currency needs and its desire to mit other suppliers will almost certainly prompt the Soviets to ke offers to some West European countries which they would be and pressed to refuse. Some additional sales will be made if oscow cuts prices substantially but overall sales to Western prope through the 1980s will remain well below what the Soviets and like.	,
Italy.	:
Italy could defer new purchases of Soviet gas until at east the early 1990s. Even if Rome signs for Siberian gas cause of political and trade considerations, we believe the lume will be far below the 8 bcm originally agreed upon-cobably in the range of 3-6 bcmand a lower base price will kely be negotiated.	:
Finland. Soviet sales efforts in Finland appear stymied by ice factors. A Finnish Trade Ministry report completed this mmer calls for a lower Soviet gas price to offset the large pital investment required to extend the existing pipeline to lsinkiestimated at \$140 million. Potential demand, moreover, s been cut to only 1 bcm, down by one-third compared with rlier projections issued this summer. Still, a dramatic price t could get the project moving, according to US Embassy porting.	2
Sweden, Turkey, Greece. Although these countries are nsidering gas imports, they presently have no significant ternal gas distribution networks. As a result, we believe high frastructure development costs will likely make Soviet gas an economic proposition unless Moscow moves to cut prices further help offset high development costs.	
o Sweden has already encountered major obstacles in developing the infrastructure to absorb 440 million cubic meters annually of contracted Danish gas supplies slated to arrive starting in 1985. According to press reports, the Swedish state oil firm, Svenska Petroleum, has even called for the project to be abandoned because	

Sanitized Co	ppy Approved for Release 2011/08/17 : CIA-RDP85T00287R000700030001-3	2
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
0	In Turkey, the need to spread high infrastructure costs over thinly-populated residential and industrial bases would appear to make Soviet gas financially unattractive.	
0	Greece will drag its feet on implementing any political pledge to purchase Soviet	2
	gas because of high infrastructure development costs.  Moreover,  significant gas imports would only be cost effective if gas penetrated the residential sector, which would	2
	require that gas be sold at a 30-40 percent discount compared with competing fuels. This would require a Soviet contract price even lower than the \$3 per million BTU recently mentioned.	2
s Securi	ty Implications in the 1990s	
jor new llingnes ditional dertaken the ear grow. uld be w	if Moscow fails to win major additional sales in the scow's marketing efforts will help set the stage for a encroachment in the 1990s. Specifically, Soviet is to cut prices will delay or prevent development of new supplies. If alternative gas projects are not in the next few years, supplies will not be available by 1990s when forecasters expect West European demand Without competing alternative supplies, the Soviets well-placed to capture an even greater share of the West has market in the 1990s.	2
tempts to eeks, the ices to ergy sale rency entracted akening so preversible position ket in	European gas supplies during the 1980s, which is prices and stymying present Soviet sales efforts, could nt or delay development of several other gas export uch as the Norwegian Troll field. Should these e delayed or fail to materialize the Soviets would be n to capture a greater share of the West European gas the 1990s.  Sales. The prospect of granting luggarity Soviets	2
rrbweur (	contracts in return for gas purchases could put further n West European countries to purchase Siberian das in	2
	5	

Barriers to Entry. If Soviet price cutting resulted in new gas sales to Sweden, Greece, or Turkey, Moscow could also be in a position to limit access of potential suppliers to the European market. An extension of the Finnish gas pipeline from the Soviet Union into Sweden would likely dampen any Swedish interest in financing and building a gas pipeline from the Norwegian Troms field to the continent. Such a pipeline through Sweden is one alternative for bringing around 25 bcm of northern Norwegian gas annually to the European market in the 1990s when it could be used to limit dependence on Soviet supplies. Without Swedish participation in either financing or purchasing some of the gas, such a project would be more costly. A gas pipeline from Troms through Norway, rather than Sweden, would cost an additional 10 percent just because of construction factors,

25X1

25X1

An extension of the Soviet pipeline network into Greece or Turkey could effectively block access to the European market by suppliers in the Middle East, where 45 percent of world non-Communist gas reserves are located. Markets in Turkey and Greece are key steppingstones for suppliers in the Middle East to enter the larger West European market because Middle-East suppliers will need to sell gas in transit to minimize the cost of By attempting to expand sales of its own gas to potential customers in Greece and Turkey, Moscow no doubt hopes to minimize the potential threat from Middle East suppliers. Following extensive press reporting of a potential Iran-Turkey gas deal, Moscow signed a joint protocol in Istanbul setting up a pipeline feasibility study on the export of gas from the USSR to Iran--with the world's second largest gas reserves--has since abandoned all gas export projects in its present Twenty-Year Plan and all gas production is slated for domestic consumption. According to US Embassy reporting, aside from financial and technical obstacles of a major Iranian export pipeline, the Iranians are generally concerned about antagonizing the Soviets by constructing a competing pipeline project.

Table 1
Western Europe: Projected Soviet Gas Deliveries
(billion cubic meters)

	<u>1982<sup>a</sup></u>	1985	1990	2000
Existing Contracts Austria France Italy West Germany Finland	27.8 3.0 4.1 9.3 10.6	26.9 2.5 4.0 7.0 12.0	26.9 2.5 4.0 7.0 12.0 1.4	26.9 2.5 4.0 7.0 12.0 1.4
New Contracts (Ureng Austria France <sup>b</sup> West Germany <sup>b</sup> Switzerland West Berlin	oy)	7.2 1.0 6.0	17.5-21.7 1.5 6.4-8.5 8.4-10.5 .4 .8	17.6-21.8 1.5 6.4-8.5 8.4-10.5 .5
Potential Contracts Italy <sup>C</sup> Finland Sweden Greece Turkey			10.0-13.0 3.0-6.0 1.0 1.0 2.0 2.0	12.5-15.5 3.0-6.0 1.5 1.5 4.5 2.0

Actual trade

The range takes into account that amounts of annual offtake under the new contracts are subject to reduction by up to 20 percent under scheduled semiannual negotiations with the Soviets.

We have assumed that any Italian-Soviet contract will be for far less than the 8 bcm originally agreed upon.

Table 2

# Natural Gas Export Prices, 1983<sup>a</sup>

(US \$ per million BTU)

Exporter	Price	Comment
Algeria	5.00-5.25	Price of LNG to European ports, c.i.f. including regasifaction costs
Netherlands	3.70-3.80	Price at Dutch border
Norway	3.80-3.90 4.50-4.70	(Ekofisk) (Statfjord) Estimated price at West Germany, c.i.f.; gas will not begin flowing until 1985 or 1986.
USSR	4.00	Base contract price for minimum volumes. Purchases above either the minimum or 80 percent of the contracted amounts are discounted.

25X1

8

Price per million BTUs can be converted to price per barrel crude oil equivalent by multiplying by 5.62.